UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/813,423	03/30/2004	John J. Connors III	8627-452	4776
	757 7590 03/20/2007 BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10395		95		CHANG, ROSIE YUH LOO	
	CHICAGO, IL 60610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1762	
_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE ,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
_	3 MO	NTHS	03/20/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/813,423	CONNORS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		ROSIE YL CHANG	1762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 F	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 February 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under the	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	er election requirement					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
• —	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		•				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Burea		tion at				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.				
		*					
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa					
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response filed 2/20/2007 is acknowledged. Claims 1-5 are being considered in this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1,2,4 and 5 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Engelson et al. (of record). The reference (s) and rejection are incorporated as cited in a previous Office action.

Examiner maintains the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engelson in view of Jafari (of record). The reference (s) and rejection are incorporated as cited in a previous Office action.

Examiner maintains the rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that on page 4, claim rejection line 9-20:

"Engelson teaches the application of a first coating solely over the proximal region of a mandrel rather than over the mandrel having a proximal portion and a distal portion."

"Since Engelson fails to disclose the application of a first coating to the distal portion of the mandrel, Engelson cannot possibly disclose the removal of such coating from the distal portion of the mandrel as recited in claims 1 and 5. The procedure to remove the first coating from the distal portion of the mandrel the Examiner refers to is merely a cleaning process to prepare the guide wire for the application of a hydrophilic

Art Unit: 1762

polymer rather than a process for removing a first coating from the distal portion of the mandrel. (Engelson, col.10, lines 10-15)"

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Engelson teaches applying a first coating (page 1, (57)) majority of its length located proximally by spraying the coating over the mandrel having a proximal portion and distal portion. Additionally, Engelson does not take any extra effort such as masking the distal portion in order to coat solely over proximal portion, thus some of the first coating would inherently be sprayed on the distal portion. Further, the cleaning procedure (col. 10, line 10-15) taught by Englelson a for preparing the guidwire prior to receiving a subsequent coating would inherently remove any undesired coating, including the first coating, on the distal portion. Clearly, Engelson anticipates the claimed invention.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/813,423 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROSIE YL CHANG whose telephone number is 571-272-6466. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY TO FRIDAY 7: 00AM TO 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIMOTHY MEEKS can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER